A Forensic Analysis of Food Poisoning using a Dip-stick Immunoassay

John Goudie Ed.D.

I. Overview:

Science Concepts: antigen-antibody reactions food microbiology types of bacterial toxins linking bacterial toxins to clinical symptoms solving a simulated forensic investigation

- General goals: Link science concepts with practical laboratory activity Provide students with immunoassay procedures and science behind them.
- Placement: This instructor is using the activity in a Microbiology course where several chapters are devoted to Immunology. This could also be part of a forensic science unit.
- Technical skills. Make dipsticks for assay; follow a multi-layered activity that takes more than one lab period; interview students in the simulated food poisoning activity to determine which foods were contaminated.
- Relevance Common link to many newspaper articles about food poisoning outbreaks; Activity links outbreaks with determination of the cause.

II. Science background:

Students, in my classes, will have has many laboratory sessions on microbial techniques, Immunology of specific immunity and immunization and Immunologic disorders and tests. However a general background of antigen and antibody activity and immunoassays for them probably is sufficient to understand the activity and get practical experience in a food poisoning investigation.

The techniques and materials and equipment are listed in the student lab.

III. Student Outcomes:

Students will gain laboratory and real-world experience from a multi-layered activity that ranges from interviewing students from the simulated food poisoning event to solving the mystery of what foods caused the food poisoning from a specific immunoassay.

IV. Learning Objects:

1. Students will learn to interview patients and collect and tabulate pertinent data for analysis of the food poisoning event. Reports will be submitted.

2. Students will gain firsthand experience with antigen-antibody testing and the reasons for the procedures by means of a test.

3. Students will gain experience in relating immunoassays to clinical observations. Laboratory grades will be assigned for student work in the la.

V. Time Requirements:

1. The lab investigation can be held in 2-70 minute lab blocks, but has stopping points for shorter lab periods.

VI. Advance Preparations:

Equipment: 37° Incubator Nitrocellulose Double –face tape Acetate film (transparency) Micropipetors Small Tupperware containers or Petri dishes

Solutions:

1. PBS (Phosphate buffered saline)(Washing buffer)

10X PBS (0.1M PBS, pH 7.4): Na2HPO4 (anhydrous) ------ 10.9 g

NaH2PO4 (anhydrous) ----- 3.2 g

NaCl ------ 90 g

Distilled water ----- 1000 ml

Mix to dissolve and adjust pH to 7.4

Store this solution at room temperature. Dilute 1:10 with distilled water before use and adjust pH if necessary. Can be purchased.

1. TMB peroxidaase substrate Promega, Corp

TMB Stabilized Substrate for Horseradish

Peroxidase 200ml W4121 \$89.00

- 2. 5% Not-fat dairy milk-local store
- 3. Tween in PBS

10X PBS-Tween 20 (0.1M PBS, 0.5% Tween 20, pH 7.4): Na2HPO4 (anhydrous) ------ 10.9 g NaH2PO4 (anhydrous) ----- 3.2 g NaCl ------ 90 g

Distilled water ----- 1000 ml

Mix to dissolve and adjust pH to 7.4 and then add 5 ml of Tween 20

Store this solution at room temperature. Dilute 1:10 with distilled water before use and adjust pH if necessary.

Tween 20 can be purchased at Sigma -Aldrich P9416-50ML 16.40

4. BSA and Anti-BSA. Many manufactures: Sigma Aldrich: BSA A2-153-10g \$57.70; Anti-BSA B1520 @\$103.50. Other suppliers like Carolina Biological Supply have antigen -antibody kits for less.

VII. Materials and Equipment

- a. Designed for a class of 30 students
- b. Complete list in section VI
- C. Costs in section VI
- D. Any antigen- antibody combination can be used
- E. Students may need training on micropipettes but calibrated plastic eye droppers can be

used.

VIII .Student Prior Knowledge

- a. Prior knowledge of antigen-antibody reactions is important to understand any immunoassay.
- b. This is a low tech lab investigation

IX. What is expected from students:

- a. Food Investigation Report
- b. Food Investigation tally sheet with determination of suspected foods
- c. Presentation of results confirming suspected foods containing antigens.
- d. Understand the procedure used

X. Anticipated results

- a. Sufficient to determine potential sources of antigen in foods
- b. Correct determination of antigen present in simulated food samples

XI. Classroom discussion

- a. Discussion of other immunoassays like Elisa Test, Rocket Immunoassays, Western Blots
- b. Discussion of available dip stick immunoassay test

XII. Assessment

- a. Photograph of end results, or video of steps in this procedure
- b. Test on basic concepts of immunoassay

References

1. Amesen, Lotte, Annette Fagerlund and Per Elinar Granum. 2008. From Soil to Gut: *Bacillus cereus* and its Food Poisoning Toxins. FEMS Microbiology Reviews. 32(4):579-606.

2. Hilal Colak ^A, ^M, Ali Aydin, Bulent Nazli and Ozer Ergun.2006. Detection of presence of cow's milk in sheep's cheeses by immunochromatography. Food Control.17(1)905-908.

3. Haggblom Max M. et al. 2002. Quantitive Analysis of Cereulide, The Emetic Toxin of *Bacillus cereus* Produced under various Conditions. Applied and Engineering Microbiology.68(5)2479-2483.

4. Foodborne Illness-Causing Organisms in the U.S. - What You Need to Know October 2008 found at http://www.fda.gov/Food/ResourcesForYou/Consumers/ucm103263.htm

References for Food Investigative Report

1. Food Investigative Report

thttp://www.co.polk.or.us/sites/default/files/community_development/Food%20Investigation%20Report%20Form.pdf

2. Standard Operating Procedure for the Investigation of Food Poisoning Outbreaks http://www.public.health.wa.gov.au/cproot/1585/2/SOP_Regional.pdf

3. Annex 6 Investigation report forms http://www.who.int/foodsafety/publications/foodborne_disease/Annex_6.pdf

4. Polk County, Oregon Food Investigation Report http://www.co.polk.or.us/PH_FoodReport

Student Section

I. Rationale

This activity was designed to teach within an Immunology Unit with the goal of integrating realistic aspects of solving a food poisoning mystery using both epidemiology and immunology techniques. Knowledge of important aspects of disease surveillance including data collection by surveys and laboratory experience will be used to identify the causative agent.

II. Materials per group

Part A Food Poisoning Outbreak

1. Food Poisoning Scenario similar to the following: Mr. and Mrs. Mott allowed their daughter, Julia, to have a party for her sixteenth birthday. The party was to begin at 6:00 pm in the back yard, as it was springtime. At this party, Mrs. Mott and her daughter prepared the following: potato salad, fruit salad, hot dogs and hamburgers, various sodas, coffee and tea, sausage and queso chip-dip, birthday cake, and ice cream. The party ended at 11:00 pm.

- 2. List of students to interview: obtained from your instructor.
- 2. Investigator worksheet
- 3. Master List worksheet

Part B Dipstick Immunoassay

- 1. Simulated food samples with primary antigen
- 2. 1X PBS (Phosphate buffered saline)(Washing buffer)
- 3. Nitrocellulose sheets.
- 4.5% Not-fat dairy milk
- 6. Tween in PBS

7. Simulated food samples of selected foods from your instructor.

III. Procedure

Part A Food Surveillance Procedure

- 1. Interview the victims assigned to you and complete the Investigator work sheets*.
- 2. Tally all the worksheets and transfer data to the Master List*.

- 3. Confer with colleagues to determine source of contaminated food.
- * Worksheets attached.

Part B. Dipstick Immunoassay (See Teacher Notes in Appendix)

Preparation of Dipsticks

1. Fix a 2.5 mm wide strip of nitrocellulose to an acetate strip (Transparency or any plastic surface) using double faced tape so that a piece of the nitrocellulose hangs off the end of the double faced tape and acetate. See Figure 1.



Fig. 1 Dipstick assembly: the nitrocellulose membrane is pasted

to the plastic stripe with an adhesive tape.

Procedure Part 2 Methodology for testing serum.

1. Make nitrocellulose dip-strips attached to acetate (See preparation of nitrocellulose strips).

- 2. Dip strips in 5% ethical alcohol to wet. Shake off excess alcohol. Dry strips for 5 minutes.
- Add 1-5 ul of primary antigen a line (or a drop) near the reaction end of the nitrocellulose strip. Let dry in incubator until dry. The primary antigens in this lab are the food specimens assigned you and your partner.

4. Soak reaction end in 5% Non-fat dairy milk (Powered milk) overnight. Cross reactivity between secondary antibodies and other proteins leads to high background signals, therefore,.). Non fat dry milk Is used as a blocking agent to block non-specific antibody binding and reduce background signal

.....

Dump off blocking solution.

- 5. Apply sample antibody in 5% powdered milk to the reaction end, Incubate for 20 minutes @ 37.. This can incubate overnight.
- 6. Rinse in 5% non-fat dairy milk solution three times.

8. Place strips in the HRP conjugate diluted in a 5 % non fat dairy milk sample and incubate for 20 minutes. (HRP is an enzyme that is conjugated to secondary antibodies and aids in the detection of the bound proteins).

10. Wash with PBS-Tween. (Tween-20 is a nonionic detergent that is used in washing buffers and antibody solutions to help reduce background).

11. Add enzyme TMB Peroxidase substrate. Careful not to overexpose or entire reaction end will stain. (TMB Peroxidase substrate is enzyme substrate applied and the resulting colored precipitate localizes the antigen as a colored band or spot on the membrane).

12. Water will stop the reaction in step 10. Record + fir positive reactions for *Bacillus cereus* type B enterotoxin or - if not present in the food sample tested. Record your finding on the Results Section

IV. Data Collection

Part B.

Immunoassay for Presence Suspected Antigen

Food	Group	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Sample	#															
#																
1																
2																
3																
4																
5																
6																
7																
8																
9																
10																
11																
12																
13																
14																
15																

Table #1 Record + of positive reaction for *Bacillus cereus* type B enterotoxin or negative for not present in the food sample tested.

V. Discussion Analysis

1. Is there a correlation between the foods suspected of being contaminated with the results of the immunoassay? Which foods correlate and which do not? Why

2. What variables might interfere with the dipstick assay techniques?

3. Now that you know the contaminated food and the agent? What do you do now?

Appendix A - Teacher Notes

- Nitrocellulose membranes are the most popular membranes for immunoblotting (Western blotting) of proteins and nucleic acids. The basis of protein binding to nitrocellulose is primarily due to hydrophobic interactions. For standard nitrocellulose, pre-wetting the membrane in water is sufficient. If using PVDF (polyvinylidene) membranes, the membrane must first be soaked in alcohol (preferably methanol) for a few minutes, followed by washing in water for approximately 5 minutes in a 37°C oven.
- 2. Part 2 of this lab involves an antigen-antibody reaction. The antigen, which could be a protein or a carbohydrates, elicits the production of antibodies by activated B cells (antibody-producing B cells are called plasma cells). Such antibodies can react specifically with the antigen used and therefore be used to detect the antigen. In this lab the antigen is BSA or Bovine serum albumin. After applying a drop of BSA to the nitrocellulose on the Dipstick, let it dry at room temperature or a 37oC oven. This antigen is the mystery antigen in the simulated food samples. Food samples can be made from dilutes samples of BSA and distilled water.
- 3. Since antibodies are proteins, the non-fat dry milk is used as a blocking agent to prevent nonspecific antibody association with the nitrocellulose membrane, which would obscure the detection of the BSA antigen. [This is a spot where the Dipsticks can be left in the blocking solution overnight]
- 4. After removing the Dipsticks with the BSA antigen from the blocking solution, apply sample antibody diluted in 5% powdered milk to the reaction/nitrocellulose end. Incubate for 20 minutes @ 37oC or overnight at 4oC.
- 5. After removing the Dipsticks rinse in 5% non-fat dairy milk solution three times.
- 6. Place strips in the HRP antibody conjugate diluted in a 5% non fat dairy milk sample and Incubate for 20 minutes. The horseradish peroxidase (HRP) antibody conjugate is the detection (BSA-specific) antibody fused with a peroxidase enzyme. This conjugate enables specific detection of BSA on the nitrocellulose while providing an enzymatic readout of that detection (see below).
- 7. Washing with PBS-Tween. Tween-20 is a nonionic detergent that is used as a washing buffer in antibody solutions to help reduce background.
- 8. The activity of the HRP enables specific detection of antibody recognition of the BSA on the dipstick. Briefly, HRP catalyzes the oxidation of the TMB (3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine) substrate, which makes a color change in the spots where the antibody bound its target. Because this is an enzymatic reaction, it is important to not allow the reaction to go too long, as that can cause the entire nitrocellulose portion of the dipstick to darken and obscure the signal. Rinsing the dipstick in water several times is usually sufficient to stop the reaction once the color development is complete.

FD U.S. Food and Drug Administration

Home> Food> Resources for You> Consumers

Food

What You Need to Know About Foodborne Illness-Causing Organisms in the U.S.

Available in PDF (313KB).¹

Also available en Español (Spanish)².

While the American food supply is among the safest in the world, the Federal government estimates that there are about **48 million cases of foodborne illness annually**—the equivalent of sickening 1 in 6 Americans each year. And each year these illnesses result in an estimated 128,000 hospitalizations and 3,000 deaths.

The chart below includes foodborne disease-causing organisms that frequently cause illness in the United States. As the chart shows, the threats are numerous and varied, with symptoms ranging from relatively mild discomfort to very serious, life-threatening illness. While the very young, the elderly, and persons with weakened immune systems are at greatest risk of serious consequences from most foodborne illnesses, some of the organisms shown below pose grave threats to *all* persons.

Organism	Common Name of Illness	Onset Time After Ingesting	Signs & Symptoms	Duration	Food Sources
Bacillus cereus	<i>B. cereus</i> food poisoning	10-16 hrs	Abdominal cramps, watery diarrhea, nausea	24-48 hours	Meats, stews, gravies, vanilla sauce
Campylobacter jejuni	Campylobacteriosis	2-5 days	Diarrhea, cramps, fever, and vomiting; diarrhea may be bloody	2-10 days	Raw and undercooked poultry, unpasteurized milk,contaminated wate
Clostridium botulinum	Botulism	12-72 hours	Vomiting, diarrhea, blurred vision, double vision, difficulty in swallowing, muscle weakness. Can result in respiratory failure and death	Variable	Improperly canned foods, especially home- canned vegetables, fermented fish, baked potatoes in aluminum foil
Clostridium perfringens	Perfringens food poisoning	8–16 hours	Intense abdominal cramps, watery diarrhea	Usually 24 hours	Meats, poultry, gravy, dried or precooked foods, time and/or temperature-abused foods
Cryptosporidium	Intestinal cryptosporidiosis	2-10 days	Diarrhea (usually watery), stomach cramps, upset stomach, slight fever	May be remitting and relapsing over weeks to months	Uncooked food or food contaminated by an ill food handler after cooking, contaminated drinking water
Cyclospora cayetanensis	Cyclosporiasis	1-14 days, usually at least 1 week	Diarrhea (usually watery), loss of appetite, substantial loss of weight, stomach cramps, nausea, vomiting, fatigue	May be remitting and relapsing over weeks to months	Various types of fresh produce (imported berries, lettuce, basil)
<i>E. coli</i> (<i>Escherichia coli</i>) producing toxin	<i>E. coli</i> infection (common cause of "travelers' diarrhea")	1-3 days	Watery diarrhea, abdominal cramps, some vomiting	3-7 or more days	Water or food contaminated with human feces

<i>E. coli</i> O157:H7	Hemorrhagic colitis or <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 infection	1-8 days	Severe (often bloody) diarrhea, abdominal pain and vomiting. Usually, little or no fever is present. More common in children 4 years or younger. Can lead to kidney failure.	5-10 days	Undercooked beef (especially hamburger), unpasteurized milk and juice, raw fruits and vegetables (e.g. sprouts), and contaminated water Raw produce,
Hepatitis A	Hepatitis	28 days average (15- 50 days)	Diarrhea, dark urine, jaundice, and flu-like symptoms, i.e., fever, headache, nausea, and abdominal pain	Variable, 2 weeks-3 months	contaminated drinking water, uncooked foods and cooked foods that are not reheated after contact with an infected food handler; shellfish from contaminated waters
Listeria monocytogenes	Listeriosis	9-48 hrs for gastro- intestinal symptoms, 2 -6 weeks for invasive disease	Fever, muscle aches, and nausea or diarrhea. Pregnant women may have mild flu- like illness, and infection can lead to premature delivery or stillbirth. The elderly or immunocompromised patients may develop bacteremia or meningitis.	Variable	Unpasteurized milk, soft cheeses made with unpasteurized milk, ready-to-eat deli meats
Noroviruses	Variously called viral gastroenteritis, winter diarrhea, acute non- bacterial gastroenteritis, food poisoning, and food infection	12-48 hrs	Nausea, vomiting, abdominal cramping, diarrhea, fever, headache. Diarrhea is more prevalent in adults, vomiting more common in children.	12-60 hrs	Raw produce, contaminated drinking water, uncooked foods and cooked foods that are not reheated after contact with an infected food handler; shellfish from contaminated waters
Salmonella	Salmonellosis	6-48 hours	Diarrhea, fever, abdominal cramps, vomiting	4-7 days	Eggs, poultry, meat, unpateurized milk or juice, cheese, contaminated raw fruits and vegetables
Shigella	Shigellosis or Bacillary dysentery	4-7 days	Abdominal cramps, fever, and diarrhea. Stools may contain blood and mucus.	24-48 hrs	Raw produce, contaminated drinking water, uncooked foods and cooked foods that are not reheated after contact with an infected food handler
Staphylococcus aureus	Staphylococcal food poisoning	1-6 hours	Sudden onset of severe nausea and vomiting. Abdominal cramps. Diarrhea and fever may be present.	24-48 hours	Unrefrigerated or improperly refrigerated meats, potato and egg salads, cream pastries
Vibrio parahaemolyticus	<i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> infection	4-96 hours	Watery (occasionally bloody) diarrhea, abdominal cramps, nausea, vomiting, fever	2-5 days	Undercooked or raw seafood, such as shellfish
Vibrio vulnificus	V. vulnificus infection	1-7 days	Vomiting, diarrhea, abdominal pain, bloodborne infection. Fever, bleeding within the skin, ulcers requiring surgical removal. Can be fatal to persons with	2-8 days	Undercooked or raw seafood, such as shellfish (especially oysters)

liver disease or weakened immune systems.

For more information, contact: The U.S. Food and Drug Administration Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition Food Information Line at 1-888-SAFEFOOD (toll free), 10 AM to 4 PM ET, Monday through Friday.

Links on this page:

- 1. /downloads/Food/ResourcesForYou/Consumers/UCM187482.pdf
- 2. /Food/ResourcesForYou/Consumers/ucm250640.htm

FOOD INVESTIGATION REPORT

NAME			DATE		
Address		City		_ State	Zip Code
Phone Numbers				Age	Sex
E-mail					
OTHER QUESTIO What time did How many dri Any drinks wi	ONS AS NEEDED l you eat? inks with ice did you have th water?	e?			
Let me ask you abo	ut the meals you have eat	ten over the last fo	ew days.		
Last 24 hours, start	ing with most recent mea	վ:			
All items you ate:	Dinner (time:)			
	Lunch (time:)			
	Breakfast (time:)			
Anything you dran	k. (time for each)				
Did you drink wate	r at any location differen	t than normal? V	Vhere?		
24 to 36 previous he	ours, starting with most r	ecent meal:			
All items you ate:	Dinner (time:)			

Lunch (time:_____)

Breakfast (time:_____)

FOOD INVESTIGATION REPORT

NAME _____

DATE _____

Anything you drank. (time for each)

Did you drink water at any location different than normal? Where?

Do you know anyone [else] that was ill? (name, address, phone number for each)

Let me read you a list of symptoms. For each one, give me a "yes" or "no." Did you have any...

Y	Ν	??	Y	Ν	??	
н 🗖		□ headache	F 🗖			fever (if yes, subjective or
N 🗖		🗖 nausea				specify maximum recorded
v 🗖		□ vomiting	L 🗖			chills
мп		myalgias (muscle aches)	D 🗖			any diarrhea or loose stools
	_	abdominal (stamach, bally) aromna	3 🗖			if yes to diarrhea, did you have 3 or more
		□ abdominar (stomach, beny) cramps				loose stools in any 24-hour period?
ТО		□ unusual fatigue (feeling tired)	в 🗖			Did you notice any blood in stools?
х 🗖		\Box Any other noteworthy symptoms? If y	ves, specif	v		

On what day did you first have any vomiting or diarrhea? At what time?

(Record date and time below. Be sure to clarify times such as "midnight" or early morning hours—make sure you indicated the correct day. Midnight exactly is the end of the day. Prompt as needed to get a precise time estimate, e.g. "What is your best guess of the time?"

DAY		🗖 da	y1		day2		🗖 day3		🗖 dag	y4		lay5	ſ]	
TIM	E (ci	rcle cl	losest	hour—ma	ke sure y	ou indica	te AM or	PM corr	ectly)						
AN	¶ 0 >midı	night	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12 noon	
PM	[12	2	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
	>no	on	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	midnight	
<i>If no</i> How Did y	<i>If no vomiting or diarrhea</i> , when did you first become ill? (<i>Write in</i>) How long did you feel sick? (specify □ days □ hours) □still sick Did you (check all that apply; provide details [names, dates, phone numbers, etc.] at right.)														
	Y	Ν	??			10	- 0								
W				miss wo	rk or sch	nool?	If yes,	how ma	iny days						
S				give a st	ool spec	imen?	If yes,	to who	m						
Р				see a ph	ysician/l	HCP	If yes,	who?							
Е				visit an	ER		If yes,	specify							
н				get adm	itted ove	ernight to	o hospita	l If yes,	specify						

 $D \square \square die If yes, express condolences.$



Standard Operating Procedure for the Investigation of Food Poisoning Outbreaks

Rural and Regional Edition





1.0 Introduction

Why investigate outbreaks?

Investigation of food poisoning outbreaks is an important function of any environmental health service in Western Australia. Interviewing as many of the affected persons as possible is essential to develop hypotheses about a possible source/s of infection amongst associated cases and may lead to the identification of the source of gastrointestinal illness in the community.

Part IX of the Health Act 1911 deals with Infectious Diseases (& outbreaks) and their management within the community. The desired outcomes are:

- 1. To identify the source of the outbreak
- 2. To stop further infection
- 3. Reduce the risk of future outbreaks
- 4. Encourage those affected to seek medical attention

Public Health Units, Food Safety Section and local government EHOs work in a cooperative arrangement to investigate most outbreaks. The level of involvement of the Food Safety Section will vary, depending on the nature and size of the outbreak – <u>Outbreak Flow Chart (Chart 1)</u>.

The Food Safety Section offers expanded administrative / field support and coordination for outbreak investigations in the following cases:

- Incidents exceeding 2 separate but related cases **AND**
- Infected persons living in more than one local government area **OR**
- Wide public health risk (eg a food product having a statewide distribution) **OR**
- Nature / species of food poisoning organism involved (eg s.typhoid)

The Outbreak decision tree (Chart 2) is a guide to assess such a complaint.

For new (associated) enteric diseases not exceeding 2 separate but related cases, it is appropriate for the local government EHO to carry out the investigation and follow up. However a Public Health Unit should be advised of the outcome, which allows staff to remain informed and notification details to be updated in the WANNID system. It also allows a reported illness to be upgraded to outbreak if further complaints are received. The Food Safety Section is available for any advice or support that may be required.

Chart 1



Chart 2

Outbreak Decision Tree (Chart 2)



2.0 Data objectives

1. Outbreak determination

Investigating Officers should endeavour to confirm the existence of an outbreak from an initial complaint. The Department of Health considers two different people (not related) who attended the same function or ate at the same premises and who experienced similar symptoms as a possible outbreak.

From the first contact with a complainant it should be established what the perceived source is. By following the questions outlined on the **Enteric Disease Investigation Report (EDIR)** (see <u>attachment 1</u>), an officer should determine if the case being reported is a self limiting incident, in which case a follow up by the local EHO is appropriate, or if the person is reporting an outbreak with multiple cases and therefore wider public health implications.

Reported cases that fit the outbreak criteria must be investigated as per the stated protocol. Refer to Chart 2 for the Outbreak Decision tree.

2. Ensure the relevant Public Health Unit is notified of event.

If the incident is reported at a local government level, an officer from that municipality must advise the Public Health Unit, once the determination of an outbreak has been made.

The Food Safety Section in Perth should also be advised, for assistance with faecal submission forms, or other administrative duties.



3. Referral to Local Government

During an investigation of an outbreak it is critical that clear notes be kept detailing all steps taken during the investigation. These notes should include:

- Completed questionnaires,
- Assessment of all potential risk factors,
- Details of any initial control measures implemented,
- Any other action taken including a summary of findings

Local government should keep their own records for future reference and in the event that council determines to prosecute the owner of the premises, or the EHO is called as an expert witness in cases of civil litigation in support of damages claims for persons who became ill.

Cases for follow up will be referred to the relevant local government, in which the case resides. This will be coordinated by the Public Health Unit in regional areas and the Food Safety Section in Metropolitan areas. An investigator may need to refer the inspection forms of food premises, special care facilities, child care centres etc. to other local governments when a need for follow up is indicated, as details emerge.

In these circumstances, the investigation report should reflect who information was referred to and at what point in the investigation.

If the outbreak is in a regional area, the relevant Public Health Unit Coordinator **must** also be advised of the situation.

4. Patient interviews

Upon receiving information relating to what appears to be an outbreak, an investigating officer should attempt to gather a complainant's details and if known, any other contact details of affected persons.

- Full name
- Date of birth (DOB),
- Address,
- Phone number,
- Foods consumed,
- The time of onset,
- Symptoms experienced,
- GP seen / faecal sample submitted? (if applicable)

Public Health Unit / Disease Control staff may conduct secondary patient interviews on dietary histories, to confirm epidemiological data.

If this information has been reported to a local government EHO (after consulting with their PEHO), the EHO should advise the Public Health Unit and the Food Safety Section of the situation. By reporting the incident sooner, a coordinated response may be organised more swiftly.

5. Identify probable source of the infection

By using the EDIR form, an investigating officer should attempt to discern the probable source of the infection. <u>All fields must be</u> <u>completed</u>, so any other possible sources can be identified. Location, day, date, time and company involved (if known) should be established. Copies of the EDIR form are available from Food Safety, or (see <u>attachment 1</u>).

It is important when conducting an investigation into an alleged outbreak to collect a detailed dietary history of foods consumed at an event. It is likely that many other people have eaten similar dishes. One food type may be implicated by examining dietary histories, or the possibility of cross contamination.

NOTE: Filling in all fields on an EDIR form, (even if the answers seem unlikely sources), may assist other officers in finding a cause. Some atypical foods have been associated with major food poisoning outbreaks eg orange juice & peanut butter.

It can be valuable to obtain a menu from the event or premises to assist with the investigation, often people may not remember fully what they consumed. With a menu that can be shown or read to them, an affected person's recall may be enhanced and allow a more accurate analysis of food consumed vs illness.

Obtaining a copy of the restaurant booking list is also of great value for contacting possible cases and EHOs have the power to request it, but discretion **MUST** be exercised in the use of this information.

Interview as many affected people as possible – a detailed dietary history of multiple cases allows a more clear direction to be adopted when following up the complaint with a food business. Also ask people if they

noticed any poor food handling practices at the event – sometimes this information can prove invaluable.

Local government EHOs should quickly convey any affected persons details not interviewed by them and not living in their area of responsibility, to the Public Health Unit for regional cases, or the Food Safety Section for metropolitan cases.

6. Faecal specimens needed to confirm causative agent (s)

The Food Safety Section has a policy whereby a faecal specimen is collected from all affected people where possible. Officers from Food Safety or local government EHOs may drop off and collect 'yellow cap' specimen jars for analysis and confirmation of illness. If affected persons have not already submitted a sample for analysis through their GP, DOH will offer this service. Currently the PathCentre is the approved analyst for faecal specimens.

Prior to collection of these samples, the Medical Officer in Disease Control, or the Chief Medical Officer at the Public Health Unit must consent to their provider number being used for the sample analysis. Investigating officers must ensure that consent has been given for this prior to issuing jars and collecting samples.

Any food handlers from the event who are experiencing similar symptoms, or have had an enteric illness recently should be asked for a faecal sample at the same time as affected persons who attended the event. Food Safety has a target of as many faecal specimens as is possible to be collected ASAP per outbreak, either through people submitting through their GP or by special arrangement with DOH

A blank copy of the new PathCentre faecal submission form is available in attachment 2 (an example of a correctly filled in form is also provided).

This form must be filled out COMPLETELY – including the patient's name, age, address details, phone number, medicare number & signature etc.

DO NOT fill out the doctors details, this must be done by a GP, one of Disease Control's public health Doctors, or a Doctor at a Public Health Unit - PathCentre automatically refers unsigned forms to Department of Health.

7. Obtain relevant food samples

If any food remains from a function or event where an alleged food poisoning outbreak has taken place, then it is important to arrange sampling of that remaining food for analysis. A sample of food from the event may provide a strong link between food consumed and disease.

Importantly products with the same batch number, or production date should be looked for during a follow up inspection and considered relevant for sampling. Food handlers may be able to reveal when a product or batch was made.

Question staff and management as to whether the food served was premade and reheated or prepared freshly for the function. This information may reveal poor food handling practices in preparation, storage, temperature controls and transport.

Seizure of remaining foods may also be appropriate, however it should be discussed with the officer's PEHO or the Food Safety Section beforehand.

8. E-mail briefing

At this point in the investigation important facts regarding the size and probable nature of the outbreak have emerged. Considerable information is known and evidence of the symptoms and circumstances of the outbreak have been verified. It is important that this information is provided to the Department of Health and so that it may be distributed to the relevant officers such as the Executive Director of Public Health, Director of Environmental Health, Director of Communicable Disease Control and Manager of Public Affairs.

An email briefing summarising all activities undertaken by the investigating officers to date must be produced and send to the Coordinator of the outbreak investigation in the Food Safety Branch, who will revise the information and distribute the summary to all key officers.

Emails are to be in a simple standard format with the objective that the information may be easily compiled to prepare the final investigation report (see 11. Generate report on investigation.).

The briefing should consider the following points where appropriate:

- What event took place (day, date, time, location)
- Who and how many attended
- What happened / who was sick
- When the local government / Food Safety Section was approached
- Investigation of premises undertaken
- Results of laboratory testing of food and faecal samples
- Control measures recommended and implemented (e.g. seizure and destruction of food on premises, etc.)
- Any recommendations the Dept of Health should consider (e.g. issuing a recall order, declaring the food to be dangerous.)

9. Identification of causative pathogen

Laboratory confirmation of causative pathogen is a vital step in the investigation of a food borne outbreak. By sampling any remaining foods and obtaining faecal specimens from affected persons, an investigating officer has a greater chance of determining the causative organism.

If a causative organism cannot be linked from food or faecal samples, this does not mean that a food poisoning outbreak has not occurred – only that the samples tested were not able to reveal a pathogen. More detailed or specific testing may be required.

Food & faecal samples should be submitted to a laboratory, for analysis, with a request that a result is made available ASAP. Requests for a PCR viral test on faecal samples may also be appropriate – however this should be discussed with Food Safety first.

Once an organism or pathogen has been identified from food or faeces samples, the investigation must focus on what food handling practices may have caused the outbreak.

10. Recommend and Implement control measures

Once the causative organism has been identified, it is imperative that any further infection is prevented. These are functions that an EHO may perform to prevent any other cases:

- Seizure of remaining foodstuffs / overseeing destruction of remaining foodstuffs
- Directing cleaning and sanitising of a food premises
- Implementing changes in food handling practices in a food business
- Obtaining EDPH consent to exclude a food handler from work
- Seeking EDPH consent to close a food business
- Ensuring water supply is safe to drink (if water borne)
- Providing general hygiene advice to affected persons
- Suggesting the implementation of a food safety plan within the food business, to control risks

REMEMBER: Any higher level control actions such as closure of a business and exclusion of a food handler from work, must be approved by the EDPH.

11. Generate report on investigation

At the conclusion of an investigation into a food poisoning outbreak, a report must be written by investigating officers incorporating information gleaned from the 10 data objectives.

The final report should be concise, approximately 5 - 8 pages, and contain the following information:

- Title: Geographical and premises location of outbreak
- Investigating Officer name, phone number and email address.
- Background information
- Investigation method and results
- Discussion on the outcome of the investigation and to what degree can the cause was identified, the impact on the people affected, how the situation might have been avoided, what was undertaken immediately to prevent any further cases of illness and what needs to be implemented to prevent the situation occurring in the future.
- Conclusions
- Recommendations

Reports and notes made during any investigation should be filed correctly together. For Food Safety Section officers, all notes, results and reports must be filed on the current Food Poisoning file.

The final report, or interim report if investigations are uncompleted, must be presented within $\underline{14 \text{ days}}$ from the date of being notification of the outbreak.

3.0 Civil Litigation

Some affected persons may feel that civil litigation is an important step in recovering monies for medical treatment, days lost to work and any mental distress their sickness has caused. Information may be sought through approved channels, by lawyers acting on the affected person's behalf or others, through the Freedom of Information Act.

4.0 Disclosure of information

It is vitally important that **NO** sensitive information regarding the matter is disclosed directly to Lawyers acting on an affected person's behalf, Ministers, Media personnel or Non-investigative personnel during and after the release of any media statement or FOI request. An officer may only confirm a released media statement.

Any Ministerial enquiries should be directed to the Media Relations Section, Department of Health or the Chief Executive Officer of a local government.

All media enquiries should also be directed to the Department of Health's Media Liaison Officer, or the Local Council's Media Liaison Officer / Legal personnel (if applicable).

Procedure for Food Safety Branch Officers

Purpose

This SOP defines the initial steps taken by officers of Food Safety Branch when an alleged food poisoning incident is reported.

• Initial inquiry (for possible outbreak)

(Receive phone call from complainant [s]

- 1. Ask name, DOB & contact details?
- 2. What symptoms have been experienced?
- 3. At what time was the onset of symptoms noticed?
- 4. Who is affected / how many in the party / strike rate?
- 5. Who ate the food?
- 6. What food was consumed?
- 7. Where food was consumed? (What premises country or metro?)
- 8. Has anyone visited their GP, if so what GP, have faecals been submitted?
- 9. Is there any food left over or brought home?
- 10. Does the person have contact details for others who attended? (if applicable)

• Refer to <u>Chart 2</u> in outbreak handout to determine if this is an outbreak

Administrative action – Food Safety Branch

- 1. Discuss situation with Food Safety officers and determine if this is considered to be an outbreak.
- E-mail to Food Safety Branch (PHS Food safety in outlook list) & Medical Epidemiologist listing the above details.
- 3. If further action is deemed necessary, Food Safety Section Officer to notify LG speak to the Principal Environmental Health Officer and area Environmental Health Officer.
- 4. Arrange inspection of food premises by the area EHO ASAP.
- 5. If it is apparent that there is an ongoing risk of food poisoning (e.g. ongoing temperature abuse of hazardous foods) determine if immediate corrective action can be taken to permit the premises to continue operating.
- 6. Ask EHO to obtain relevant food samples (if available).
- 7. **Seek** to exclude any food handler, childcare worker or children who have active symptoms of food poisoning in accordance with <u>exclusion guidelines</u>.
- 8. Provide information and educational resources for managers and staff .
- 9. If faecal samples have not already been collected by a person's GP, Food Safety Section may request local EHOs to do so. The Medical Epidemiologist must be consulted prior to doing this, as their provider number will be required.
- 10. Briefing to be organised with LG EHOs and Food Safety Section officers.

Procedure for Local Government EHOs

Purpose

This SOP defines the initial steps taken by local government Environmental Health Officers in regional and rural areas when an alleged food poisoning incident is reported.

• Initial inquiry (for possible outbreak)

(Receive phone call from complainant [s]

- 1. Ask name, DOB & contact details?
- 2. What symptoms have been experienced?
- 3. At what time was the onset of symptoms noticed?
- 4. Who is affected / how many in the party / strike rate?
- 5. Who ate the food?
- 6. What food was consumed?
- 7. Where food was consumed? (Name of premises? What address?).
- 8. Has anyone visited their GP, if so what GP, have faecals been submitted?
- 9. Is there any food left over or brought home?
- 10. Does the person have contact details for others who attended? (if applicable)

• Refer to <u>Chart 2</u> in outbreak handout to determine if this is an outbreak

Administrative action – Local Government EHOs

- 1. Discuss situation with PEHO / SEHO.
- 2. Obtain last inspection report of food premises (if available) and determine if there were any major defects noted or work orders issued and when.
- 3. Phone Regional Public Health Unit and advise them of situation and request support if appropriate.
- 4. Phone Food Safety Section 9388 4903.
- 5. Arrange inspection of food premises by area EHO, ASAP.
- 6. Obtain relevant food samples from premises if applicable. Phone Food Safety Branch and discuss what samples are to be tested.
- 7. Consult with Regional Public Health Unit regarding the exclusion of any food handler, child care worker or children who have active symptoms of food poisoning in accordance with <u>exclusion guidelines</u>
- 8. Provide information and educational resources for managers and staff.
- 9. If faecal samples have not already been collected by a person's GP, Food Safety Section may request you to do so – the Medical Epidemiologist, must be consulted prior to doing this, as their provider number will be required.
- 10. Briefing to be organised with Local Government, EHOs and Regional Public Health Officers.
- 11. Prepare a report on incident and outcome and advise Food Safety Branch.

Outline of an outbreak investigation report

Cover page

• Title of report

Indicate whether this is a preliminary or a final report. Keep the title short and memorable, but include information on the type of problem under investigation, the location and date.

- Date of report
- Names and affiliations of the main authors and investigators

Abstract

The abstract should be written after the report has been completed. It should stand alone and contain the most relevant data and conclusions. All data mentioned in the abstract must also appear in the main section of the report. Sentences from the Discussion section can be used verbatim in the abstract.

Report

• Introduction

Statement of the problem and its public health importance.

Details and time frame regarding initial source of information.

Reasons for investigating event.

Type of investigations conducted and agencies involved.

• Background

Generally available information to help the reader interpret epidemiology and data presented in the report (e.g. population size, socioeconomic status of community, ethnicity, etc.).

If outbreak occurred in a food premises, description of premises (e.g. size of restaurant, usual practices and operations, etc.).

Description of the problem.

Sequence of events leading to the study or investigation.

Brief statement of the working hypothesis.

Objectives

Specify targets to be achieved by the investigations.

Keep objectives concise and follow a logical, sequential pattern.

The objectives may include hypotheses, if any, to be tested.

• Methods

Epidemiology:

- description of study population
- type of study conducted
- case definition
- procedures for case-ascertainment and selection of controls (if any)
- methods of data collection, including questionnaire design, administration and contents
- methods of data analysis.

Medical laboratory testing:

- methods of specimen collection and processing
- name of laboratory carrying out tests
- laboratory techniques employed and methods of data analysis.

Food and food testing:

- description of inspection process
- methods of food and environmental sampling
- name of laboratory carrying out tests
- laboratory techniques employed and methods of data analysis.

• Results

Present all pertinent results from clinical, laboratory, epidemiological and environmental findings.

Present results in same order as described in the methods section.

Do not interpret or discuss the data in this section.

Epidemiology:

- number of cases, overall attack rate
- clinical details of illness (symptoms, duration, hospitalization, outcome, etc.)
- descriptive epidemiology by time (epidemic curve), place and person (age, sex, race, specific characteristics) expressed as rates
- risk factor exposures
- further data analysis and data presentation depending on specific studies undertaken (e.g. cohort or case–control study).

Laboratory (microbiology, chemical, toxicological):

- number of specimens collected
- findings by type of laboratory analysis.

Food investigation and food testing:

- findings of food inspections
- results of laboratory tests performed on food and environmental samples.

Discussion

The discussion is the most important part of the report and should cover:

- summary of the major findings
- likely accuracy of the results

- conclusions with justification for those conclusion and rejection of alternative explanations
- relationship of these results to other studies and the literature
- implications of the findings
- an assessment of control measures
- needs for future research.

• Recommendations

Initial recommendations and those for future prevention and control should be listed numerically.

• References

Select appropriate references, including reviews in major scientific journals. Follow a standard style of referencing (e.g. Vancouver style), numbering the references in the order in which they appear in the text.

• Appendices

Questionnaires and/or other survey forms Appropriate field reports Any other relevant documents, including press releases.

Sample report forms from various agencies

Example of an outbreak report form used by the WHO Surveillance Programme for Control of Foodborne Infections and Intoxications in Europe

		Report of	incident	
1.	Country:	2. Year:		3. Report no.:
4.	Place of incident: City/Town:	Prov	ince/District	:
5.	Causative agent/type:			
	Phagetype:	Confirmed:	P	resumed:
6.	Number of persons:			
	at risk _	ill	hospi	alized died
	by age groups: from 0 to 4 years from 4 to 15 ears from 15 to 60 years over 60 years			
7.	Symptoms:			
	🗌 Nausea 🔲 Vomiting	🗌 Diarrho	ea 🗌] Abdominal pain
	E Fever Neurologic	al 🗌 Cardio	vascular 🗌] Other ()
8.	Date of onset of illness:			
	first person: / / / day month year	ar	last perso	n: / /
9.	Incubation time and duration	on of illness:	(in hours):	□ ?
	Incubation time: shortest		longest	median
	Duration of illness: shortest		longest	median
10	. Food/vehicle involved:			
	Code:			
	Confirmation:	Laboratory	E	pidemiological 🗌
	Commercial name of produc	t:		
	Producer:			
11.	. Methods of marketing, pro	cessing, servi	ng:	
	Marketed: code	Treatment befo	ore final pre	paration: code
	Served and eaten: code			

12. Place where food was	2. Place where food was contaminated:						
Place: code 🗌	Country: coc	le 🗌					
13. Place and date where f	food was acquire	ed and eaten:					
Date: / / day month year	Date: / / Place: code day month year Place: code						
During transit:							
Means of transit: code	Means of transit: code 🗌 from: code 🗌 to: code 🗌						
14. Factors contributing to	14. Factors contributing to incident:						
(a) Code 🔲 🗌 Other	(a) Code (b) Code (c)						
Note: In case more than one f	actor contributed, list	all that are applica	ble but code only the two major factors.				
Testing laboratory:							
Specimens/samples III people* Well people* Food-handlers Suspect food Other foods Environment	No. tested	Positive	Details/comments				
* Clinical samples.							

Example of an outbreak form used in England and Wales for investigation of general outbreaks of infectious intestinal diseases

			OUTBREAK NO. 97\
Na	me:	Address	S:
Po	sition:		
Те	lephone:	LA:	DHA:
Da	te:		
1.	MODE OF TRANSMISSION (tic	k one only)	
	Mainly person to person 🗌	Mainly f	oodborne
	Equal or unknown proportion of f	foodborne a	nd person to person 🗌
	Other 🗌 Specify water, animal	contact, etc	
	Unknown		
2.	PLACE WHERE OUTBREAK O or served. Tick one only. If food e.g. if food was prepared in a she prepared at a house and served	CCURRED, borne "PRE op but serve elsewhere,	or if foodborne where food was prepared PARED" takes precedence over "SERVED", d in a house, tick "Shop/retailer", if food was tick "Private house".
	(a) Private house		
	(b) House/guest house/residentia	al pub 📋 S	pecify
	(c) Restaurant/café	🗌 S	pecify ethnicity
	(d) Pub/bar		
	(e) Mobile retailer	□ S	pecify market trader, chip van, etc.
	(f) Armed services camp	□ S	pecify army, navy, etc
	(g) Canteen	□ S	pecify work, college
	(h) Shop/retailer	□ S	pecify baker, butcher, etc
	(i) Hospital	□ S	pecify general, geriatric, EMI
	(j) Residential institution	🗌 S	pecify nursing/residential home
	(k) School	□ S	pecify nursery, junior, etc
	(I) Other	□ S	pecify
3.	NAME AND ADDRESS OF PLA	CE	
			Postcode (if known)
4.	WAS THE OUTBREAK AT A FU	JNCTION?	Yes No Date of function /////
5.	WAS PATHOGEN/TOXIN IDEN	TIFIED?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
	If YES give: Organism/toxin		Serotype Phage type
	If NO: Specify organism su	spected	
6.	LABORATORY where tests microbiology was negative	performed:	State first and reference labs, even if
	□		□
	First lab		Reference lab

7. TOTAL NUMBER AFFECTED (diarrhoea and/or vomiting +/- any other symptom) _____

TOTAL NUMBER AT RISK _____

Number admitted to hospital _____

Number known to have died _____

8. LABORATORY RESULTS

NUMBER OF PEOPLE	AFFECTEI	D PEOPLE	WELL PEOPLE		
	TESTED	POSITIVE	TESTED	POSITIVE	
8a. HOSPITAL OR RESIDENTIAL OUTBREAKS ONLY categories (i) and (j) in question 2					
Residential/patients					
Staff					
Total					
8b. ALL OTHER OUTBREAKS					
Non-food-handlers					
Food handlers					
Total					

9. DATE OF ONSET: First known __/ __/ Last known __/ __/

10. SUSPECT FOOD VEHICLE ASSOCIATED WITH ILLNESS: only list specific vehicle for which there is microbiological, statistical or other convincing association with illness.

	EVIDENCE (tick)							
VEHICLE	Microbiological	Statistical a	association					

11. FAULTS THOUGHT TO HAVE CONTRIBUTED TO OUTBREAK:

Infected food-handler	Give details
Inadequate heat treatment	Give details
Cross contamination	Give details
Storage too long/too warm	Give details
Other	Give details

Environmental Health Department's inspection rating of premises (if available) (A-F): _____

Foodborne disease outbreak report form from Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, USA



9. Etiology (Name the ba factors, and metabolic prof	icteria, virus, ile. Confirma	parasite, or toxin. If it ion criteria available	available, at http//w	include the se ww.cdc.gov/n	erotype and other chara cidod/dbmd/outbreak/ o	acteristics such as phage type, virulence or MMWR2000/Vol. 49/SS-1/App. B)				
Etiology			Se	erotype	Other characteris	e) (see codes just below)				
1)	I) Confirmed									
2)										
3)										
Etiology undetermined										
Detected in (list above all that apply)										
1. Patient specimen(s) 2. Food specimen(s) 3. Environment specimen(s) 4. Food worker specimen(s)										
10. Isolate subtype State Lab. ID			Р	FGE (PulseN	et designation)	PFGE (PulseNet designation)				
1)										
2)										
3)										
11. Contributing factors (check all that apply: see attached codes and explanations)										
□ Contributing factors u	nknown									
Contamination factor □C1 □C2 □C3 □C4 □C5 □C6 □C7 □C8 □C10 □C11 □C12 □C13 □C15 (describe in Comments) □ N/A										
Proliferation/amplification factor (bacterial outbreaks only)										
Survival factor (microbial outbreaks only)										
Was food-worker implicated as the source of contamination? □ Yes □ No If yes, please check only one of following: □ laboratory <i>and</i> epidemiologic evidence □ epidemiologic evidence (w/o lab confirmation) □ lab evidence (w/o epidemiologic evidence) □ prior experience makes this the likely source (<i>please explain in Comments</i>)										
Dort 2: Additional information										
12. Symptoms, signs a Feature	. Symptoms, signs and outcomes eature Cases with Total cases for who		whom	13. Incuba (circle appro	tion period priate units)	14. Duration of Illness (among those who recovered)				
	outcome/ feature	you have informa	ation	Longest	(hours, days)	Shortest (hours, days)				
Healthcare provider visit				Median Unknowr	(hours, days)	Longest(hours, days) Median(hours, days)				
HOSPITALIZATION			•			🗆 Unknown				

* Use the following terms, if appropriate, to describe other common

Itching

Jaundice

Lethargy

Myalgia

Paraesthesia

Septicaemia

Sore throat

Headache

Hypotension

Tachycardia

Urticaria

Wheezing

Temperature reversal

Thrombocytopenia

characteristics of cases:

Bullous skin lesions

Descending paralysis

Anaphylaxis

Bradycardia

Arthralgia

Coma

Cough

Diplopia Flushing

Death Vomiting Diarrhoea

Fever

*

*

Bloody stools

HUS or TTP

Asymptomatic

Abdominal cramps

15. If cohort investigation conducted:									
Attack rate* = / x 100 =%									
Exposed and ill Total number exposed for whom you have illness information									
* The attack rate is applied to persons in a cohort who were exposed to the implicated vehicle. The numerator is the number of persons who were exposed and became ill; the denominator is the total number of persons exposed to the implicated vehicle. If the vehicle is unknown, then the attack rate should not be calculated.									
16. Location where food was prepared (check all that apply)		17. Location of exposure or where food was eaten (check all that apply)							
Restaurant or deli Nursing home Day care center Prison, jail School Private home Office setting Workplace, not cafete Workplace cafeteria Wedding reception Banquet facility Church, temple, etc. Picnic Camp Caterer Contaminated food im Grocery store Hospital Fair, festival, other temporary/ mobile services Commercial product, served without further preparate Unknown or undetermined Other (describe)	ria ported into U.S. ion	Restaurant or deli Nursing home Day care center Prison, jail School Private home Office setting Workplace, not cafeteria Workplace cafeteria Wedding reception Banquet facility Church, temple, etc. Picnic Camp Grocery store Hospital Fair, festival, temporary/ mobile service Unknown or undetermined Other (describe)							
18. Trace back □ Please check if trace back conducted.									
Source to which trace back led:	Land	lion of course	Commente						
(e.g. chicken farm, tomato processing plant)	State	County	comments						
19. Recall		20. Available reports (please attach)							
Please check if any food product recalled. Recall comments		Unpublished agency report Epi-Aid report Publication (please reference if not attached)							
21. Agency reporting this outbreak		22. Remarks Briefly describe important aspects of the outbreak not covered above (e.g. restaurant closure, immunoglobin administration, economic impact							
Contact person: Name Title Phone Fax E-mail		etc.)							

Part 3: School questions							
1. Did the outbreak involve a single or multiple schools?							
□ Single □ Multiple (<i>if yes</i> , number of schools)							
2. School characteristics (for all involved students in all involved schools)							
 a) Total approximate enrolment (number of students) Unknown or undetermined b) Grade level(s) (please check all grades affected) Preschool Grade school (grades K-12) Please check all grades affected: K = 1st = 2nd = 3rd = 4th = 5th = 6th = 7th = 8th = 9th = 10th = 11th = 12th College/university/technical school Unknown or undetermined c) Primary funding of involved school(s) Public Private Unknown or undetermined 							
 3. Describe the preparation of the implicated item: Heat and serve (item mostly prepared or cooked off-site, reheated on-site) Served a-la-carte Serve only (preheated or served cold) Cooked on-site using primary ingredients Provided by a food service management company Provided by a fast food vendor Provided by a pre-plate company Part of a club/fundraising event Made in the classroom Brought by a student/teacher/parent Other Unknown or undetermined 	 4. How many times has the state, county or local health department inspected this school cafeteria or kitchen in the 12 months before the outbreak?* Once Twice More than two times Not inspected Unknown or undetermined *If there are multiple schools involved, please answer according to the most affected school. 5. Does the school have a HACCP plan in place for the school feeding program?* Yes No Unknown or undetermined *If there are multiple schools involved, please answer according to the most affected school. 						
 6. Was implicated food item provided to the school through the school tem donated/purchased by : USDA through the Commodity Distribution Program Purchased commercially by the state/school authority Other	ugh the National School Lunch/Breakfast Program?						

Part 4: Ground beef

1. What percentage of ill persons (for whom information is available) ate ground beef raw or undercooked? _____%

2. Was ground beef case-ready? (Ground beef that comes from a manufacturer packaged for sale and not altered or repackaged by the retailer) Yes

🗆 No

□ Unknown or undetermined

3. Was the beef ground or reground by the retailer?

□ Yes

🗆 No

□ Unknown or undetermined

If yes, was anything added to the beef during grinding (e.g. shop trim or any product to alter the fat content)?

Part 5: Mode of transmission

(enterohaemorrhagic E. coli or Salmonella enteritidis only)

1. Mode of transmission (for greater than 50% of cases)

Select one:

□ Food

Person to person

Swimming or recreational water

□ Drinking water

Contact with animals or their environment

□ Unknown or undetermined

Part 6: Additional egg questions

1. Were eggs (check all that apply):

□ in-shell, un-pasteurized?

□ in-shell, pasteurized?

□ liquid or dry egg product?

□ stored with inadequate refrigeration during or after sale?

□ consumed raw?

 \Box consumed undercooked?

□ pooled?

2. If eggs traced back to farm, was Salmonella enteritidis found on the farm?

□ Yes

□ No

□ Unknown or undetermined

Comment:_

Contamination factors:¹

- C1 Toxic substance part of tissue (e.g. ciguatera)
- C2 Poisonous substance intentionally added (e.g. cyanide or phenolphthalein added to cause illness)
- C3 Poisonous or physical substance accidentally/incidentally added (e.g. sanitizer or cleaning compound)
- C4 Addition of excessive quantities of ingredients that are toxic under these situations (e.g. niacin poisoning in bread)
- C5 Toxic container or pipelines (e.g. galvanized containers with acid food, copper pipe with carbonated beverages)
- C6 Raw product/ingredient contaminated by pathogens from animal or environment (e.g. Salmonella enteriditis in egg, norovirus in shellfish, *E. coli* in sprouts)
- C7 Ingestion of contaminated raw products (e.g. raw shellfish, produce, eggs)
- C8 Obtaining foods from polluted sources (e.g. shellfish)
- C9 Cross-contamination from raw ingredient of animal origin (e.g. raw poultry on the cutting board)
- C10 Bare-handed contact by handler/worker/preparer (e.g. with ready-to-eat food)
- C11 Glove-handed contact by handler/worker/preparer (e.g. with ready-to-eat food)
- C12 Handling by an infected person or carrier of pathogen (e.g. Staphylococcus, Salmonella, norovirus
- C13 Inadequate cleaning of processing/preparation equipment/utensils leads to contamination of vehicle (e.g. cutting boards)
- C14 Storage in contaminated environment leads to contamination of vehicle (e.g. store room, refrigerator)
- C15 Other source of contamination (please describe in Comments)

Proliferation/amplification factors:¹

- P1 Allowing foods to remain at room or warm outdoor temperature for several hours (e.g. during preparation or holding for service)
- P2 Slow cooling (e.g. deep containers or large roasts)
- P3 Inadequate cold-holding temperatures (e.g. refrigerator inadequate/not working, iced holding inadequate)
- P4 Preparing foods a half day or more before serving (e.g. banquet preparation a day in advance)
- P5 Prolonged cold storage for several weeks (e.g. permits slow growth of psychrophilic pathogens)
- P6 Insufficient time and/or temperature during hot holding (e.g. malfunctioning equipment, too large a mass of food)
- P7 Insufficient acidification (e.g. home canned foods)
- P8 Insufficiently low water activity (e.g. smoked/salted fish)
- P9 Inadequate thawing of frozen products (e.g. room thawing)
- P10 Anaerobic packaging/modified atmosphere (e.g. vacuum packed fish, salad in gas flushed bag)
- P11 Inadequate fermentation (e.g. processed meat, cheese)
- P12 Other situations that promote or allow microbial growth or toxic production (please describe in Comments)

Survival factors:1

- S1 Insufficient time and/or temperature during initial cooking/heat processing (e.g. roasted meats/poultry, canned foods, pasteurization)
- S2 Insufficient time and/or temperature during reheating (e.g. sauces, roasts)
- S3 Inadequate acidification (e.g. mayonnaise, tomatoes canned)
- S4 Insufficient thawing, followed by insufficient cooking (e.g. frozen turkey)
- S5 Other process failures that permit the agent to survive (please describe in Comments)

Method of preparation:²

- M1 Foods eaten raw or lightly cooked (e.g. hard shell clams, sunny side up eggs)
- M2 Solid masses of potentially hazardous foods (e.g. casseroles, lasagna, stuffing)
- M3 Multiple foods (e.g. smorgasbord, buffet)
- M4 Cook/serve foods (e.g. steak, fish fillet)
- M5 Natural toxicant (e.g. poisonous mushrooms, paralytic shellfish poisoning)
- M6 Roasted meat/poultry (e.g. roast beef, roast turkey)
- M7 Salads prepared with one or more cooked ingredients (e.g. macaroni, potato, tuna)
- M8 Liquid or semi-solid mixtures of potentially hazardous foods (e.g. gravy, chili, sauce)
- M9 Chemical contamination (e.g. heavy metal, pesticide)
- M10 Baked goods (e.g. pies, eclairs)
- M11 Commercially processed foods (e.g. canned fruits and vegetables, ice cream)
- M12 Sandwiches (e.g. hot dog, hamburger, Monte Cristo)
- M13 Beverages (e.g. carbonated and non-carbonated, milk)
- M14 Salads with raw ingredients (e.g. green salad, fruit salad)
- M15 Other, does not fit into above categories (please describe in Comments)
- M16 Unknown, vehicle was not identified

¹ Bryan FL, Guzewich JJ, Todd ECD. Surveillance of foodborne disease. III. Summary and presentation of data on vehicles and contributory factors: their value and limitations. *Journal of Food Protection*, 1997, 60(6):701–714.

² Weingold SE, Guzewich JJ, Fudala JK. Use of foodborne disease data for HACCP risk assessment. *Journal of Food Protection*, 1994, 57(9):820–830.

Master Interview Sheet

ID #	Time of	III (yes or	Date of onset	Time of	Hamburger	Hot	Potato	Fruit	Sausage and	Birthday	lce	Soda	Coffee	Теа
	Meal	no)	of symptoms	onset	_	Dog	Salad	Salad	Queso dip	Cake	Cream			
1														
2														
3														
1														
-														
5														
6														
7														
8														
9														
10														
														1